

Sample Scoring Sheet (based upon guidelines of Reconciliation Ministries)

For each category, Grant Reviewers who are reviewing this document will assign points as a way of rating how well this project meets the goals of the Reconciliation Grant.

Where possible, they will include comments to explain their ratings (such as calling attention to a particularly positive feature of the proposal).

- The contribution project is likely to make towards eliminating systemic racism, empowering racially marginalized communities, or fostering multiracial communication. _____ (out of 40)
Comments:

- Project's goals and objectives are clear, congruent and achievable. _____ (out of 15)
Comments:

- Plan is cost-effective _____ (out of 10)
Comments:

- Expected immediate and long-term results are included in the proposal. _____ (out of 5)
Comments:

- Project honors and makes good use of the organization's context (place, population, conditions, etc.) _____ (out of 5)
Comments:

- Project application was submitted on time and included all requested information
Comments: _____ (out of 5)

- Project is new or still young enough to require some financial assistance not available locally
Comments: _____ (out of 20)

Total score: _____

Total average score from all Grant Reviewers Responding: _____

Key: (Based upon Total Average Score)

85+ Highest priority 70-85 Priority if funds are available

50-70 May need to request further information before authorizing funding

Below 50 May not meet the stated goals of the Reconciliation Mission in current form

Assumption: The Review Team may, at its discretion, assist applicants of projects to improve the clarity and quality their grant requests so as to better qualify for funding. Poor project proposals do not necessarily imply poor projects. Volunteers writing their first grant proposals may need personalized help to gain the attention their missions deserve.